


# NPD

Novice Policy Debate				Tarun Suresh (*3)			
Round 1				LL104		Fri 12/02/16 03:10PM	
Speaker (circle)	<b>Affirmative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	<b>Negative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st 2nd Chase Laibe		2 <u>4</u>	28.6	1st 2nd Louis Pratt		4	27.2
1st 2nd Jadon Grossberg 9 Desert Vista High School		3 <u>4</u>	28.3	1st 2nd Declan Formicella 15 McClintock High School		1 <u>4</u>	20.8
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature <hr/> School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative <input checked="" type="radio"/> (Circle Winner)							
Is this a low point win? _____							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

ZAC:

- pick answer  
 Double file

What are u answering?

IAR:

→ dangers on DA → go  
 for no ev.

you need → read @ → CC!!!  
 their only arg = inv.;  
 you already have case @

→ all args on CC in IAR = inv

you don't "have cards"

- you ev. contains arguments  
 ya have + more.

Relations answer is silly;  
 stop pointing fingers; make answers.  
~~→ ready to go~~

NR:

Stop saying what they  
 didn't answer;  
 make arguments  
 idle what  
 ev says.  
 I could give your speech  
 in 30 seconds

Ⓢ(?) any ev.

econ: ~~Draw arg...~~  
 INCI brighter ev  
 econ ↓ a war  
 best I J  
 ∴ CCP collapse =  
 north & south  
 North fill in  
 INC 2  
 → ev that  
 econ con → CCP coll

Relations:

CC:

@ DA: IAR - Guantanamo...

ZAR:

- more have happened...  
 - what ZAR does w/ soviet Union w/ evs as.

Ⓢ need + asked  
 Ⓢ need in the arg  
 → need to explain  
 P.T.O

