


## NPD

Novice Policy Debate				Thomas Willis (4)			
Round 2				LL104		Fri 12/02/16 05:15PM	
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st 2nd	Louis Pratt	<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>	1st 2nd	Jonathan Dwiyono	<u>4</u>	<u>23.5</u>
1st 2nd	Declan Formicella 15 McClintock High School	<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>	1st 2nd	Sreeharsha Lakamsani 13 Hamilton High School	<u>2</u>	<u>28.5</u>
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature <u>Arizona State University</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative <input checked="" type="radio"/> (Circle Winner)							
Is this a low point win? <u>yes</u>							

Comments &amp; Reason for Decision:

Affirmative did not extend case in IAR. The Affirmative left me nothing to vote on. The affirmative also did not state any negative consequences of not implementing their plan besides their econ adv, which was effectively contested by neg. The neg weighed evidence and arguments in this round, the aff failed to do so. The neg flowed their cards throughout this debate effectively, the aff dropped all but two that had no ~~sufficient~~ significance to their original plan they DID NOT flow, and the argument they were trying to articulate. I voted neg because the aff failed to prove that their case outweighs all of the harms the neg presented.