


NPD

FLIP: 13 Dwiyono - Lakamsani v. 9 Laibe - Grossberg

Novice Policy Debate				Peter the Greek (*7)			
Round 3				LL104		Fri 12/02/16 07:20PM	
Speaker	Affirmative	Rank	Points	Speaker	Negative	Rank	Points
1st	Lakamsani	(1-4)	(20-30) 28.1	1st	Laibe	(1-4)	(20-30) 28
2nd	Dwiyono		28.5	2nd	Grossberg		28.2
The winner of this debate was							
Affirmative Negative				 Judge's Signature			
(Circle Winner)				Horizon			
Is this a low point win? <u>Yes</u>				School / Affiliation / Occupation			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

- careful with 2AC impact defense - your MAD argument on relations also takes out your nuclear war impacts
- IAR coverage needs more organization - explicitly extend and answer arguments, Answer theory too

RFD:

~~Insufficient~~ Insufficient extension of case in the IAR plus a risk of the disad means + risk neg. Low point win, because aff did better technical debating.

Neg

- make the block about fewer arguments and win those arguments with depth and organization
- when you say to prefer evidence because it's newer, you should have something recent that happened that makes recency relevant
- Careful when reading impact overview. You said both Human Rights outweighs Extinction and Extinction outweighs Human Rights.
- The bias argument should be stronger than "your author believes their argument."