



VPD

FLIP: 46 Srivastava - Crusa v. 16 Aoki - Hoang

Policy Debate		Timothy Cornwell (*13)			
Finals		Room 860		Sat 02/11/17 03:30PM	
Speaker	16 Affirmative	Rank	Points	Speaker	46 Negative
		(1-4)	(20-30)		
1st	_____	_____	_____	1st	_____
2nd	_____	_____	_____	2nd	_____
The winner of this debate was					
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative					
(Circle Winner)					
Is this a low point win? _____					
			 _____ Judge's Signature		
			 _____ School / Affiliation / Occupation		

Comments & Reason for Decision:

VPD

FLIP: 46 Srivastava - Crusa v. 16 Aoki - Hoang



Policy Debate				Jacqui Anderson (*63)			
Finals				Room 860		Sat 02/11/17 03:30PM	
Speaker	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st	<u>Kiki Hoang</u>			1st	<u>Crusa Srivastava</u>		
2nd	<u>Hoang Aoki</u>			2nd	<u>Crusa Srivastava</u>		
The winner of this debate was				_____ Judge's Signature Hamilton School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)							
Is this a low point win? _____							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

APP did not have a compelling counter for fluid identity. Neg needs to explain intersectionality + unity over difference, T debate messy

VPD

FLIP: 46 Srivastava - Crusa v. 16 Aoki - Hoang

Policy Debate				Amy Minniear (*13)			
Finals				Room 860		Sat 02/11/17 03:30PM	
Speaker	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st	Ale			1st	Crusa		
2nd	Hoang			2nd	Srivastava		
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature  School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)							
Is this a low point win? _____							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

My who was Link a to whom Crusa all the key
 how the diff. My probly was the to allow for the
 to allow the diff. to be probly added and early
 when the Crusa, as did why traditional ideas are
 had. to probly early on as depicted below.