



# VPD



Policy Debate				Philip Birgenheir (*46)			
Round 1				Room 908		Fri 02/10/17 03:45PM	
Speaker (circle)	<b>Affirmative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	<b>Negative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st 2nd	Alex Fan	<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>	1st 2nd	Chase Laibe	<u>7</u>	<u>28.1</u>
1st 2nd	Vignesh Viswanath 35 Hamilton High School	<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>	1st 2nd	Jadon Grossberg 16 Desert Vista High School	<u>4</u>	<u>28</u>
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature   School / Affiliation / Occupation			
(Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>N</u>							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

② provides China w/ way to ↓ dependence on South Sudanese oil.  
 SS collapse DA:  
 US-China coop helps stabilize region. Things are already p bad there  
 so the South Sudanese econ being damaged probably can't make it  
 that much worse. Ongoing human rights abuses and burgeoning  
 genocide is something to stop.

Africa DA: us already engages w/ China. Engaging w/ China in  
 relation to Africa would avoid this link as well.

# VPD



Policy Debate				Tanzil Chowdry (*35)			
Round 1				Room 907		Fri 02/10/17 03:45PM	
Speaker (circle)	<b>Affirmative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	<b>Negative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st 2nd	Bradley Baltman	<u>4</u>	<u>27.1</u>	1st 2nd	Kaede Aoki	<u>1</u>	<u>29.1</u>
1st 2nd	Sirfraz Shah 46 Brophy College Prep	<u>3</u>	<u>27.5</u>	1st 2nd	Charley Hoang 16 Desert Vista High School	<u>2</u>	<u>29.2</u>
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature  School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Affirmative <u>Negative</u> (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Oral comments given

email me @ [tachowd1@asu.edu](mailto:tachowd1@asu.edu)

# VPD

Policy Debate				Bren Ram (*16,46)			
Round 1				Room 902		Fri 02/10/17 03:45PM	
Speaker (circle)	<b>Affirmative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	<b>Negative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st 2nd	Ely Sannes	<u>1</u>	<u>28.5</u>	1st 2nd	Ryan Lange	<u>4</u>	<u>27.8</u>
1st 2nd	Lauren Chen 39 Chandler Preparatory Academy	<u>2</u>	<u>28.3</u> <u>28.5</u>	1st 2nd	Breanden Nolan 63 Thunderbird High School	<u>3</u>	<u>27.9</u>
The winner of this debate was							
<input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Affirmative</b> <input type="radio"/> <b>Negative</b> (Circle Winner)				 Judge's Signature			
Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>				 School / Affiliation / Occupation			

28.0  
28.0

Comments & Reason for Decision:

# VPD

Policy Debate				Nicole Woodruff (*39)			
Round 1				Room 901		Fri 02/10/17 03:45PM	
Speaker (circle)	<b>Affirmative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	<b>Negative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
2nd	Isaac Quah	2	28	2nd	Jonathan Dwiyono	4	27
1st	Animesh Gupta 16 Desert Vista High School	1	28	1st	Sreeharsha Lakamsani 35 Hamilton High School	3	27
The winner of this debate was							
<input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Affirmative</b> <input type="radio"/> <b>Negative</b> (Circle Winner)				_____ Judge's Signature			
Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>				_____ School / Affiliation / Occupation			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

All awards for the Aff. highly merited. A core debate to  
 block all future to regard to all debaters on the Aff  
 mean that the Aff had probably spent

VPD

Policy Debate				Jacqui Anderson (*63)			
Round 1				Room 903		Fri 02/10/17 03:45PM	
Speaker (circle)	<b>Affirmative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	<b>Negative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st 2nd	Lance Watkins	_____	_____	1st 2nd	Ryan Dempsey	_____	_____
1st 2nd	Llayton Ito 16 Desert Vista High School	_____	_____	1st 2nd	Harnoor Sodhi 39 Chandler Preparatory Academy	_____	_____
The winner of this debate was							
<b>Affirmative</b>		<b>Negative</b>		_____ Judge's Signature			
(Circle Winner)				_____ School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Is this a low point win? _____							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

af6.

Make sure you really use your time and bring it in effectively

Make sure you're speaking and not just reading your notes. You should have a speech and bring it in as much as possible.

The K is an important part of this debate and something that was really over looked.

While yes in theory the bit is good but the lack of confrontation.

The aff did a great job defending their case but the lack of time spent on the K was problematic.

Neg:

Same goes for the neg. when you have these speeches use all the time possible. also BE CONFIDENT! you deserve to be here! own it!

The neg did a great job of attacking the aff's case and bringing in their own evidence. They were very confident and spoke clearly. The judge's decision was well earned.