



# VPD

Policy Debate				Bren Ram (*16,46)			
Round 2				Room 908		Fri 02/10/17 05:45PM	
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st	Ryan Dempsey	3	28	1st	Alex Fan	2	28.5
2nd	Harnoor Sodhi 39 Chandler Preparatory Academy	4	28	2nd	Vignesh Viswanath 35 Hamilton High School	+	29
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature   School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Affirmative		Negative					
(Circle Winner)							
Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

points I would have given if it wasn't limited to halves:

Dempsey - 27.8

Sodhi - 27.7

Fan - 28.7


Viswanath - 28.8

A. Sakhti (35)

ANDERSON, JACQUI

Bobcat Bonanza 2017

# VPD



Policy Debate				Jacqui Anderson (*63)			
Round 2				Room 901		Fri 02/10/17 05:45PM	
Speaker (circle)	<b>Affirmative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	<b>Negative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st 2nd	Kaede Aoki	<u>3</u>	<u>29</u>	1st 2nd	Ely Sannes	<u>1</u>	<u>29.5</u>
1st 2nd	Charley Hoang 16 Desert Vista High School	<u>4</u>	<u>26</u>	1st 2nd	Lauren Chen 39 Chandler Preparatory Academy	<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature Hamilton High School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Affirmative		Negative					
(Circle Winner)							
Is this a low point win? _____							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Voted on psycho analysis K

|

# VPD

Policy Debate				Philip Birgenheir (*46)			
Round 2				Room 907		Fri 02/10/17 05:45PM	
Speaker (circle)	<b>Affirmative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	<b>Negative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
(1st/2nd) Ryan Lange		3	28.5	1st 2nd Isaac Quah		1	29.5
1st 2nd Breanden Nolan 63 Thunderbird High School		4	28	(1st/2nd) Animesh Gupta 16 Desert Vista High School		2	29
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature   School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Affirmative <u>Negative</u> (Circle Winner)							
Is this a low point win? <u>N</u>							



Comments & Reason for Decision:

DA dropped in 2AC. us Heg good. Even if relations ~~adv.~~ takes it out these answers come too late in 1AR.

CP works - NASA can do it themselves and us unilateral axw is to stability.

Thanks

# VPD

Policy Debate				Amy Lin (*16)			
Round 2				Room 903		Fri 02/10/17 05:45PM	
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st 2nd	Jonathan Dwiyono	1	28	1st 2nd	Bradley Baltman	2	27.8
1st 2nd	Sreeharsha Lakamsani 35 Hamilton High School	3	27.6 27.5	1st 2nd	Sirfraz Shah 46 Brophy College Prep	4	27.5
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature			
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)				 School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Is this a low point win? _____							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

**COMMENTS**

Everyone:

- look at me during CX! NOT your opponent
- start speeches in rebutt 2AR and 2NR with reasons to vote for you

2A

- Use cards from your 1AC to extend in your 2AC to save time rather than reading new ones
- do line by line on case
- use framing contention against DAs
- standards on T

2N

- go further than "you only solve South Sudan", explain why extinction affecting the whole world is bad - more impact work
- neg doesn't have to be topical
- if you're going for T, go for 5 min of it

1N

- you can prep your 1NR during 2NC prep and your partners speech


1a

- Don't spend that much time on T. They didn't extend it

**RFD**

- no link on DA = no access impacts
- They're topical

VPD

Policy Debate				Tanzil Chowdry (*35)			
Round 2			Room 902		Fri 02/10/17 05:45PM		
Speaker (circle)	<b>Affirmative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	<b>Negative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st 2nd	Chase Laibe	2	26	1st 2nd	Shikhar Srivastava	1	29
1st 2nd	Jadon Grossberg 16 Desert Vista High School	4	26	1st 2nd	Andrew Crusa 46 Brophy College Prep	3	27
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature  Hamilton School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Affirmative		Negative					
(Circle Winner)							
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

IAC - Slow down friend. You'll be more efficient. Practice in IAC before the tournament for time management purposes.

INC - Slow down / More clear on tags. When I say "clear," become more clear.

ZAC - I don't flow anything not said by the speaker. If you need your partner to say something, write it up or tell them.

ZNC - Maybe slow down a little at the beginning of the or just so I get accustomed.

IVR - Differentiate cards/analyses better.

Oral RFD. E-mail = tachowd1@~~asu~~asu.edu.