


NPF

FLIP: 46 Prouty - Heyman v. 38 Danciu - Hunt

| | | | |
|---|----------------|---|----------------------|
| Novice Public Forum | | Jody Volk (*26) | |
| Quarter-Finals | | Room 321 | Sat 02/11/17 02:15PM |
| Speaker | Pro (2) | Points (20-30) | Speaker (1) |
| ✓ 1st | HEYMAN | 27 | ✓ 1st DANCIU |
| 2nd | PROUTY | 26 | 2nd HUNT |
| The winner of this debate was | |  Judge's Signature HAMILTON / ENGINEER School / Affiliation / Occupation | |
| Pro (Con) (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u> | | | |

Comments & Reason for Decision:

| | |
|---|-------|
| Speaker 1..... | 4 min |
| Speaker 2..... | 4 min |
| Crossfire (1 & 2) *..... | 3 min |
| Speaker 3..... | 4 min |
| Speaker 4..... | 4 min |
| Crossfire (3 & 4) *..... | 3 min |
| Speaker 1 Summary..... | 2 min |
| Speaker 2 Summary..... | 2 min |
| Grand Crossfire (all)..... | 3 min |
| Speaker 3 Final Focus..... | 2 min |
| Speaker 4 Final Focus..... | 2 min |
| 2 minutes of Prep Time per side | |
| * The first question is asked by the earlier speaker. | |

DETAILED DEBRIEF WITH TEAMS AFTER DEBATE.

Highlights

- o VERY ORGANIZED BY BOTH TEAMS. EASY TO FOLLOW AND UNDERSTAND (LOGICAL/CLEAR)
- o CROSSFIRE ROUNDS WELL DONE!!
- o NICE QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES. MUCH OF MY DECISION WAS BASED ON MATERIAL PRESENTED IN THESE ROUNDS
- o COMPOSITION OF LEGAL/BENEFITS TO PEOPLE/AND ABILITY FOR CUBANS TO RECEIVE IMPORTANT BENEFITS SUCH AS MEDICINE WERE WELL STRUCTURED AND PRESENTED
- o PRO THE ARGUMENTS ON BENEFITS TO US AND THE USE OF THE EMBARGO AS A SCAPEGOAT TO VIOLATE HUMAN RIGHTS DID NOT WORK AS WELL FOR ME.

NICE WORK TEAMS!
IT WAS A PLEASURE

NPF

FLIP: 9 Frindell - Reaves v. 30 Matejsek - Stabilito

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|---|-----------------|----------------------|
| Novice Public Forum | | | Sidharth Kulkarni (43) Levi Rubin (16/20) | | |
| Quarter-Finals | | | Room 323 | | Sat 02/11/17 02:15PM |
| Speaker | Pro | Points | Speaker | Con | Points |
| 1st | Emily Stabilito | (20-30) 27 | 1st | Carthney Reaves | (20-30) 28 |
| 2nd | Rachel Matejsek | 26 | 2nd | Talia Frindell | 28 |
| The winner of this debate was | | | Levi Rubin | | |
| Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con (Circle Winner) | | | Judge's Signature | | |
| Is this a low point win? NO | | | Desert Vista | | |
| | | | School / Affiliation / Occupation | | |

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Reaves - clarify your evidence in your 1st speech. Good job taking charge in crossfire and good job deflecting questions

Stabilito - TRY NOT TO WASTE TIME IN CX BY ASKING FOR TAGLINES

Frindell - TRY TO LOOK AT ME DURING THE SPEECH, NOT YOUR COMPUTER. Nice, organized speeches & good usage of evidence, good job taking control of CX

Matejsek - I felt that your speech was disorganized. Be sure to go down your opponents flow and attack their points in order. State your warrants in your speech instead of simply naming points

Reaves - TRY TO EXPLAIN THE IMPACTS OF YOUR POINTS AND THEIR IMPORTANCE IN THE ROUND

Stabilito - Give me warrants behind your points (Numbers, Justification)

Frindell - Great referring back to your first speech and the points that flowed through the round

Matejsek - TRY TO SLOW DOWN YOUR SPEECH SO YOU DON'T GET LONGWINDY

| | |
|---|-------|
| Speaker 1..... | 4 min |
| Speaker 2..... | 4 min |
| Crossfire (1 & 2) *..... | 3 min |
| Speaker 3..... | 4 min |
| Speaker 4..... | 4 min |
| Crossfire (3 & 4) *..... | 3 min |
| Speaker 1 Summary..... | 2 min |
| Speaker 2 Summary..... | 2 min |
| Grand Crossfire (all)..... | 3 min |
| Speaker 3 Final Focus..... | 2 min |
| Speaker 4 Final Focus..... | 2 min |
| 2 minutes of Prep Time per side | |
| * The first question is asked by the earlier speaker. | |

RFD con showed that the benefits from increased trade would be nominal. Even so, those nominal benefits would support an abusive government. Lastly, pro failed to show how an embargo lift would help the medical needs of the Cubans while con showed that the current status quo supports the medical needs of the Cubans

45
20
65

Braun, Ted
~~RAJU, SREENU~~

Bobcat Bonanza 2017

B2
10
52

NPF

FLIP: 40 Warrior - Exum v. 5 Callies - Diaz

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Novice Public Forum | | Sreenu Raju (*16) | |
| Quarter-Finals | | Room 324 | Sat 02/11/17 02:15PM |
| Speaker | Pro keep lift | Points (20-30) | Speaker |
| 1st | Exum | 29 | 1st |
| 2nd | Warrior | 29 | 2nd |
| The winner of this debate was | | <p style="text-align: center;">Ted Braun Judge's Signature</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Desert Vista School / Affiliation / Occupation</p> | |
| <p style="text-align: center;">(Circle Winner)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Pro Con</p> | | <p style="text-align: center;">Is this a low point win? <u>No</u></p> | |

lift embargo
P#1
Quality of life
Taken steps to liberalize
we should address his liberalization
Hindering Tech advancement
Dr. in Cuba less than 50%
of medicines avail in US
US quality of life \$1.2 - 4.8
economic impacts
Tex A & M agro \$385 mil impact
only positive impact.

C #1 keep embargo
Harm environment
Jeopardize their Heritage
Eco system impacted
Can't be lifted legally
must become Democratic
Already appointed next leader
6k claims towards Cuba
(private claims)
20 bil assets law
Economics - state owns 74% of business
They (Cuban Govt) set wages
Failing



| | |
|---|-------|
| Speaker 1..... | 4 min |
| Speaker 2..... | 4 min |
| Crossfire (1 & 2) *..... | 3 min |
| Speaker 3..... | 4 min |
| Speaker 4..... | 4 min |
| Crossfire (3 & 4) *..... | 3 min |
| Speaker 1 Summary..... | 2 min |
| Speaker 2 Summary..... | 2 min |
| Grand Crossfire (all)..... | 3 min |
| Speaker 3 Final Focus..... | 2 min |
| Speaker 4 Final Focus..... | 2 min |
| 2 minutes of Prep Time per side | |
| * The first question is asked by the earlier speaker. | |

CF #1
How long in place 1962
US Human rights
Reward
IF not helpful in 50 years why continue it?

The pro Team won a close debate, they stated more facts throughout the debate. Their simple questioning style and utilizing their questions and responses to them showed a slightly better path towards making their case.

NPF

FLIP: 41 Martinez - Yu v. 20 Lenhart - Rascon

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|---------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------|
| Novice Public Forum | | Joseph Mooney (*57) | | | |
| Quarter-Finals | | Room 322 | | Sat 02/11/17 02:15PM | |
| Speaker | Pro | Points (20-30) | Speaker | Con | Points (20-30) |
| 1st | Martinez | 28 | 1st | Rascon | 27 |
| 2nd | Yu | 29 | 2nd | Lenhart | 26 |
| The winner of this debate was | | |  Judge's Signature  School / Affiliation / Occupation | | |
| (Pro) Con (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____ | | | | | |

Comments & Reason for Decision:

| Order/Time Limits of Speeches | |
|---|-------|
| Speaker 1..... | 4 min |
| Speaker 2..... | 4 min |
| Crossfire (1 & 2) *..... | 3 min |
| Speaker 3..... | 4 min |
| Speaker 4..... | 4 min |
| Crossfire (3 & 4) *..... | 3 min |
| Speaker 1 Summary..... | 2 min |
| Speaker 2 Summary..... | 2 min |
| Grand Crossfire (all)..... | 3 min |
| Speaker 3 Final Focus..... | 2 min |
| Speaker 4 Final Focus..... | 2 min |
| 2 minutes of Prep Time per side | |
| * The first question is asked by the earlier speaker. | |