

VLD

FLIP: 55 Parker Whitfill v. 11 Finley Dutton Reid

Varsity L-D Debate		Richard Bui (*16)	
Finals		Room 865	Sat 02/11/17 05:30PM
<b>Affirmative</b> 55 <u>Parker Whitfill</u>		Points (20-30) 30	<b>Negative</b> 11 <u>Finley Dutton</u>
The winner of this debate was <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Affirmative</b> <input type="radio"/> <b>Negative</b> (Circle Winner)		_____ Judge's Signature Perry HS / Engineer School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? _____			

Comments & Reason for Decision:


Clear case of problem  
 speech codes do not work  
 and cause oppression to  
 solution by use of  
 counter speech.  
 Very passionate and clear  
 thought process

Made good point that NC  
 want justice similar to  
 AC and point out status  
 quo protects the students  
 better.  
 Finley spoke so fast as a  
 strategy to outline 4 contingenc  
 that he lost his train of  
 thought twice speaking for  
 the affirm  
 Very passionate in the  
 argument

Great debate on both sides using two different  
 strategies. The neg would have won if Finley did  
 not loose his train of thought twice and Parker  
 stuck to his solvency argument. The winner of  
 the debate is for the Affirmative

# VLD

FLIP: 55 Parker Whitfill v. 11 Finley Dutton Reid


Varsity L-D Debate		<del>Makoto Hunter (11)</del>	
Finals		Room 865	Sat 02/11/17 05:30PM
<b>Affirmative</b> Points (20-30) <u>Parker</u>		<b>Negative</b> Points (20-30) <u>Finley</u>	
The winner of this debate was <b>Affirmative</b> <b>Negative</b> (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature <u>Brady</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- I agree w/ Parker that after the NC it's a debate about solvency, implementation
- things I think I can definitely vote on: extensions of Parker's C2A and Fin's C3A  
~~Finley's C2A~~
- weighing + impact comparison/analysis is weak in NR; only Parker invokes comparative language when discussing C2A
- psych studies p much conceded in NR give Parker's alt to speech codes enough plausibility

# VLD

FLIP: 55 Parker Whitfill v. 11 Finley Dutton Reid

Varsity L-D Debate		Maanik Chotalla (*46)	
Finals		Room 865	Sat 02/11/17 05:30PM
<b>Affirmative</b> <span style="float: right;">Points (20-30)</span> <u>Parker Whitfill</u> <span style="float: right;">30</span>		<b>Negative</b> <span style="float: right;">Points (20-30)</span> <u>Finley Dutton Reid</u> <span style="float: right;">29</span>	
The winner of this debate was <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <span><b>Affirmative</b></span> <span><b>Negative</b></span> </div> (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		<div style="text-align: center;">                       _____                      Judge's Signature  <u>BCP/Coach/POTUS</u>                      School / Affiliation / Occupation                 </div>	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

- well organized case, good stuff
- That internal link arg was really good and real important
- Really good 2AR strat

Neg

- This neg is soooo booriny, well made tho
- you gotta respond to that internal link arg its huge

RPD: The neg lost this one in the NR by just not going for the necessary arguments. I was waiting for a response to Stranison and it just never came. Once that is extended it is absolutely game over. I can affirm pretty comfortably there without having to look elsewhere so I affirm