


VPF

FLIP: 16 Raju - Goswick v. 9 Nguyen - Schulman

Varsity Public Forum		Brendan Scobie (*20)			
Finals		Room 862	Sat 02/11/17 05:30PM		
Speaker	Pro/Aff	Points (20-30)	Speaker	Con/Neg	Points (20-30)
1st	Nguyen	28	1st	Goswick	26
2nd	Schulman	29	2nd	Raju	27
The winner of this debate was <input checked="" type="radio"/> Pro <input type="radio"/> Con (Circle Winner)			 Judge's Signature		
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>			Bonita Vista H.S. / University Professor School / Affiliation / Occupation		

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Speaker 1.....	4 min
Speaker 2.....	4 min
Crossfire (1 & 2) *.....	3 min
Speaker 3.....	4 min
Speaker 4.....	4 min
Crossfire (3 & 4) *.....	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary.....	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary.....	2 min
Grand Crossfire (all).....	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus.....	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus.....	2 min
2 minutes of Prep Time per side	
* The first question is asked by the earlier speaker.	

The Con argued that the "only chance of democracy is continuing the embargo" but they didn't prove this when the Pro side said the embargo hadn't brought about democracy in over 50 years.

On a different note: (did not take this into account in judging)

I found the Neg team to be very arrogant. Not helpful at all for their case.


~~LEONARDI, NICK~~

Syed Hng

Bobcat Bonanza 2017

VPF

FLIP: 16 Raju - Goswick v. 9 Nguyen - Schulman

Varsity Public Forum		Nick Leonardi (*38)			
Finals		Room 862	Sat 02/11/17 05:30PM		
Speaker	Pro	Points (20-30)	Speaker	Con	Points (20-30)
1st	Nguyen	29	1st	Goswick	29
2nd	Schulman	28	2nd	Raju	29
The winner of this debate was			 Judge's Signature		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Pro <input type="radio"/> Con (Circle Winner)			Perry HS School / Affiliation / Occupation		
Is this a low point win? <u>yes</u>					

Comments & Reason for Decision:


Order/Time Limits of Speeches

- Speaker 1.....4 min
- Speaker 2.....4 min
- Crossfire (1 & 2) *.....3 min
- Speaker 3.....4 min
- Speaker 4.....4 min
- Crossfire (3 & 4) *.....3 min
- Speaker 1 Summary..... 2 min
- Speaker 2 Summary..... 2 min
- Grand Crossfire (all).....3 min
- Speaker 3 Final Focus..... 2 min
- Speaker 4 Final Focus..... 2 min
- 2 minutes of Prep Time per side
- * The first question is asked by the earlier speaker.

Ryan-Rojas, Jacqueline

VPF

FLIP: 16 Raju - Goswick v. 9 Nguyen - Schulman

Varsity Public Forum		Dillan Butler (#13) - Jacqueline Ryan-Rojas			
Finals		Room 862	Sat 02/11/17 05:30PM		
Speaker	Pro	Points (20-30)	Speaker	Con	Points (20-30)
1st	Nguyen	27	1st	Goswick	28
2nd	Schulman	30	2nd	Raju	29
The winner of this debate was			 Judge's Signature Valley Vista HS / coach Speech & Debate School / Affiliation / Occupation		
(Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <input type="checkbox"/>					

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Speaker 1.....	4 min
Speaker 2.....	4 min
Crossfire (1 & 2) *.....	3 min
Speaker 3.....	4 min
Speaker 4.....	4 min
Crossfire (3 & 4) *.....	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary.....	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary.....	2 min
Grand Crossfire (all).....	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus.....	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus.....	2 min
2 minutes of Prep Time per side	
* The first question is asked by the earlier speaker.	

Goswick - Harmful for US & disastrous for Cubans
 (conventions) workers are exploited - ~~the~~ ~~debate~~
 • investment in policed state
 • Cuban investment unreliable
 • Embargo is the last bit of change the US can take hold of

Nguyen - Liberal reforms have been made
 (conventions) - embargo forces sanctions
 - ~~embargo~~ collective action
 • embargo impacts Cuban market

Cross - liberalization of Cuba, one person example v looking @
 country as a whole, working w/ Lat. Am;
 Raju - lives are more important than economy. The state is
 controlling free trade. more money will go to the Cuban
 gov. Human rights violation will continue.

Schulman - strengthening the economy helps the poor & helps
 lives? Cuban gov is trying to fix economy via currency.
 FDI is good, it is a financial bail safe. Embargo has
 been in place for 50 yrs and no change.

Cross - 90% discussion to reform, human rights violations

Goswick - ~~the~~ funding Cuban economy funds Cuban gov, fund human rights violations, Cuban mindset will still resent U.S., cont. embargo cont. talks w/ ~~Raju~~

Nguyen - Every 10 yrs of sanctions reduces corruption by 20%. No progress in Lat. Am. b/c of embargo.

Grand cross - impact Lat Am, ~~the~~ Cuban sentiment towards U.S., Raul is a different leader.

Raju - Risk of solvency -> all companies still go through the gov. continue people cont. to be oppressed, we have leverage w/ embargo

Schulman - Risk of solvency of human rights violations. FDI, ~~leverage~~ ^{Embargo} has not worked

Final Thoughts: What an exciting debate! Great points were made on both sides. In the end Pro wins - there is no argument to justify continued human violations - no solution is present by keeping the embargo. If there is a change to offer change then we should try it.