

Su, Emily (35)

VPF

FLIP: 30 Cohen - Cohen v. 5 Cardone - Rusk

Varsity Public Forum		Clayton Guy (*58)			
Quarter-Finals		Room 705	Sat 02/11/17 02:00PM		
Speaker	Pro	Points (20-30)	Speaker	Con	Points (20-30)
1st	Speaker Cardone	29	1st	Speaker J. Cohen	30
2nd	Speaker Rusk	29	2nd	Speaker A. Cohen	30
The winner of this debate was Pro <input type="radio"/> Con <input checked="" type="radio"/> (Circle Winner)			Judge's Signature _____ Hamilton School / Affiliation / Occupation		
Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>					

Comments & Reason for Decision:

on econ. at the point where the state owns 90% of the economy. I buy that even if investment does help the private sector, the money going to the morally corrupt govt outweighs

Truman doctrine wasn't flowed through summary or impacted out

also, if Cuba already trades w/ Latin America + Europe, how is US trade unique?

Burma flows neg bc warranting

and generally needs to do more warranting

more on oral RF 10



Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1.....	4 min
Speaker 2.....	4 min
Crossfire (1 & 2) *.....	3 min
Speaker 3.....	4 min
Speaker 4.....	4 min
Crossfire (3 & 4) *.....	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary.....	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary.....	2 min
Grand Crossfire (all).....	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus.....	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus.....	2 min
2 minutes of Prep Time per side	
* The first question is asked by the earlier speaker.	

1:4
1:17

VPF

FLIP: 16 Raju - Goswick v. 37 Kukunoor - Amin

Varsity Public Forum		Sherry Meng (*17)			
Quarter-Finals		Room 704		Sat 02/11/17 02:00PM	
Speaker	Pro	Points (20-30)	Speaker	Con	Points (20-30)
1st	<u>Amin</u>	<u>28</u>	1st	<u>Goswick</u>	<u>28</u>
2nd	<u>Kukunoor</u>	<u>29</u>	2nd	<u>Raju</u>	<u>30</u>
The winner of this debate was			 Judge's Signature  School / Affiliation / Occupation		
Pro <input type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con (Circle Winner)					
Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>					

Comments & Reason for Decision:


Pro's argument relies on the links attacked by Con. Particularly, all the benefits in contention / relies upon free trade. Con was quick to point out that trade won't be free any way with or without embargo in Cuba. Renewable energy & oil were not important factors.

Con's first speaker is a little overly aggressive.

Speaker 1.....	4 min
Speaker 2.....	4 min
Crossfire (1 & 2) *.....	3 min
Speaker 3.....	4 min
Speaker 4.....	4 min
Crossfire (3 & 4) *.....	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary.....	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary.....	2 min
Grand Crossfire (all).....	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus.....	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus.....	2 min
2 minutes of Prep Time per side	
* The first question is asked by the earlier speaker.	

VPF

FLIP: 35 Wang - Ramesh v. 40 Tomooka - Thomas

Varsity Public Forum			Murshed Alam (*50)		
Quarter-Finals			Room 702		Sat 02/11/17 02:00PM
Speaker	Pro	Points (20-30)	Speaker	Con	Points (20-30)
1st	Thomas	28	1st	Wang	26
2nd	Tomooka	29	2nd	Ramesh	27
The winner of this debate was			 Judge's Signature V Volunteer / Program Manager School / Affiliation / Occupation		
(Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>					


Comments & Reason for Decision:

oral feedback given

Speaker 1.....	4 min
Speaker 2.....	4 min
Crossfire (1 & 2) *.....	3 min
Speaker 3.....	4 min
Speaker 4.....	4 min
Crossfire (3 & 4) *.....	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary.....	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary.....	2 min
Grand Crossfire (all).....	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus.....	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus.....	2 min
2 minutes of Prep Time per side	
* The first question is asked by the earlier speaker.	

VPF

FLIP: 46 Cardellini - Coben v. 9 Nguyen - Schulman

Varsity Public Forum			Natalie Kujawa (*20)		
Quarter-Finals			Room 706		Sat 02/11/17 02:00PM
Speaker	Pro	Points (20-30)	Speaker	Con	Points (20-30)
1st	Nguyen	24	1st	Coben	26
2nd	Schulman	28	2nd	Cardellini	28
The winner of this debate was			 Judge's Signature Schulman's Team School / Affiliation / Occupation		
(Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>					

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro could have given a better answer to trace political freedom in ex the league "itiz" region

Decision 4. Max amount of FDI was needed + was a crucial part of conglomerate money going to the military

Con-

RFD - I vote Pro b/c they have a stronger imdca story & their reforms curb on money to military were explicit in that direction. They also had better analysis on helping the poor of the Coben & Political freedom. I also thought they had as strong answers Latin America question. The Washington quarterly was not specific answer with the Venezuela story was conclusive & of them that it was embargo removes the military from analysis of Fidel from the 90s.

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1 & 2) *	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3 & 4) *	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (all)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of Prep Time per side	
* The first question is asked by the earlier speaker.	