

# NLD

Novice LD Debate		Judge <u>Maria Bourque</u>	
Round 4		D105	Sat 09/23/17 01:30PM
<b>Affirmative</b>	Points (25-30)	<b>Negative</b>	Points (25-30)
Mariah Hays 17 Perry High School	<u>30</u>	Andrew Hanh Li 11 Hamilton High School	<u>26</u>
The winner of this debate was <input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Affirmative</b> <input type="radio"/> <b>Negative</b> (Circle Winner)		_____ Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>		_____ School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1:55

- great inflection
- well rehearsed
- consistent speed
- watch "um"s in ex
- use time more wisely (only used 3:22 of your 4 min speech)



Hanh Li

- don't ask questions that you don't know the answer to - aka,
- watch buffer words/noises (throat clearing, um, etc.)
- confirm that judge is ready before speaking
- use time more wisely (only used 4:33 of your 6 min speech)

**RFD:** both sides argued well, and the win goes to the Aff. The first reason is representation in govnt. 1/2 of the examples were of civil rights movements, where colored people did not have suffrage. NEG also conceded in ex that minorities are still not represented as well. Violence also went to Aff based on Thoreau's def. of civil disobedience.

6<sup>3</sup> 7<sup>3</sup> 4<sup>3:22</sup> 6 3 (4 prep each)

# NLD

Novice LD Debate		Judge	
Round 4		E212	Sat 09/23/17 01:30PM
<b>Affirmative</b>	Points (25-30)	<b>Negative</b>	Points (25-30)
Xenia Zhao 11 Hamilton High School	<u>29</u>	Rahul Puranam 5 BASIS Phoenix	<u>29</u>
The winner of this debate was		 Judge's Signature  School / Affiliation / Occupation	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> <b>Affirmative</b> <input type="radio"/> <b>Negative</b> (Circle Winner)			
Is this a low point win? _____			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

✓ Many positive thoughts for both. See notes -

\* Very impressed with BOTH! EXCELLENT!

- \* Interesting
- \* Captivating
- \* Impressive
- \* Well prepared

\* Maintained poise + professionalism!  
Great job!

- Both <sup>had</sup> valued argumentation
- Both intelligent, interesting, good clarity!
- Listened respectfully to each other and answered + responded with great logic and validity
- Both excellent questions and points of views
- Both effective delivery
- Both well prepared, persuasive and answered w/ logical <sup>educated</sup> responses!
- Both use of evidence + facts well done!

# NLD

Novice LD Debate		Judge <u>Adam Khan</u>	
Round 4		E217	Sat 09/23/17 01:30PM
<b>Affirmative</b>	Points (25-30) <u>29</u>	<b>Negative</b>	Points (25-30) <u>28</u>
Anoushka Kathiravan S BASIS Phoenix		Aris Zhu 11 Hamilton High School	
The winner of this debate was <b>Affirmative</b> Negative (Circle Winner)		<u>Adam Khan</u> Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>		<u>Pinnacle HS</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Good volume and articulation
- Overall solid CX answers, careful with India's gov
- Good leading questions, trying to get the neg to concede something for later
- Good usage of govt can't fix the flaw
- Expand more on the long term impacts of MLK, don't assume that the result is "good"

- Good volume and articulation may want to read slightly faster
- Well done pointing out India as not a democracy
- Why does it matter that we violate the law? Show impacts
- Spend more time in VC asking
- Careful with stating that the law determines morality.
- Solid responses in CX, didn't concede

- Tie in arguments to framework better felt like an attorney

Ultimately I didn't buy into the argument that the law should always dictate morality. I also couldn't buy into the idea of marches and protest not being O.

# NLD

Novice LD Debate		Judge	
Round 4		E117	Sat 09/23/17 01:30PM
<b>Affirmative</b>	Points (25-30)	<b>Negative</b>	Points (25-30)
Ashish Dubey 4 BASIS Chandler	27	Allison Kwan 18 Pinnacle High School	29
The winner of this debate was		Judge's Signature	
<p>Aff</p> <p><del>Affirmative</del> <del>Negative</del></p> <p>(Circle Winner)</p>		Hamilton HS	
Is this a low point win? <u>X</u>		School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AC \* before each speech, ask if judge and opponent are ready  
Format case better.

Use contentions.

The Neam Chomsky card was not needed

But good warrants.

\*I am good w/ ~~read~~ fast speaking bc in varsity people speak extremely fast - but, if you have a parent judge you may want to slow down.

CA  
speak louder when asking questions  
Good questions though

few every speech, Don't cross arms and don't sway

AR  
Don't make ground randomly,  
- too much time spent on opponents case.  
- **EXTEND YOUR CASE**  
- weigh FW

RFD Given verbally - vote on NC FW, but aff links better

CR  
Try to not use prep before CR

NC  
• You don't have to say which essay or paper an author said the quote in.  
• The his boycott was CD. Don't use that. Warrant it better if you are going to keep it  
• Treason was in favor of CD.

CA  
stand -> more authoritative psychological

NR  
ask if I'm ready  
FW → start each speech by saying this.  
attach his case again