


LD

FLIP: 31 Ethan Cohen v. 38 Asha Mahajani

|  |                   |  |                   |
|--|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| Lincoln Douglas Debate   | Nick Lange (*9)   |  |                   |
| Octo-Finals  | Room 612          | Sat 10/28/17 12:30PM   |                   |
| <b>Affirmative</b><br>Ethan Cohen  | Points<br>(20-30) | <b>Negative</b><br>Asha Mahajani   | Points<br>(20-30) |
| The winner of this debate was<br><b>Affirmative</b> <b>Negative</b><br>(Circle Winner) |                   | <br>Judge's Signature<br>Desert Vista / Judge / Student<br>School / Affiliation / Occupation |                   |
| Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>   |                   |  |                   |

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AC

- be strategic with definitions
- Don't say topic at the beginning of your speech
- show how increased education from national service matters to social contract
- good job winning under both frameworks
- Don't delegitimize the ncs def of

NC

- <sup>trying to</sup> good job winning under opponents framework
- show how veterans getting PTSD violates or matters in framework
- update wagner if it up to date + have read that part
- UNDHR has no legal standing so make it clear you are using it as definition
- Constitution is effectively a real life social contract so show how that is an advantage to your framework



LD

FLIP: 23 Clayton John Marfori v. 39 Gabrielle Paffumi

|   |  |   |                      |
|---|--|---|----------------------|
| Lincoln Douglas Debate  |  | Maanik Chotalla (*37)   |                      |
| Octo-Finals   |  | Room 611  | Sat 10/28/17 12:30PM |
| <b>Affirmative</b> Points (20-30)<br><u>Clayton Marfori 30</u>  |  | <b>Negative</b> Points (20-30)<br><u>Gabrielle Paffumi 30</u>   |                      |
| The winner of this debate was<br><b>Affirmative Negative</b><br>(Circle Winner)<br>Is this a low point win? _____ |  | _____<br>Judge's Signature<br><u>BCP / Coach / Bad Anglo-Saxon</u><br>School / Affiliation / Occupation |                      |

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

Neg

- STOP SAYING WE YOU ARE ONE PERSON (sorry that just really annoyed me)
- Cool plan though, you need more util impacts fleshed out
- You should have extended Boston and went harder on frameworks that's an easy aff ballot
- I don't understand this Penn arg she isn't presenting an alt or anything, there's nothing to turn

- Well done case I think there is strong politics disad ground on the neg just to structure this better
- That NR was super messy. You really need to go super hard on the framework to have your best guarantee at this. You spent way too long on your voting issues

RRD: I endorse negating this round largely because of how messy this round is. Both sides often prerequisites without anything why it is true and they end up as two ships passing in the night. I err neg on framework due to the and so extended over Anubis because I'm convinced we can't know <sup>what is</sup> painful or pleasurable before hand. Aff does a really bad job explaining impacts, neg has a clear map.