Novice Policy Debate

Judge

Round 2
Room 924
Fri 01/26/18 05:30PM

Affirmative

Speaker (circle)
1st 2nd
Isaac Matti
25 Brophy College Prep
27

Negative

Speaker (circle)
1st 2nd
Ruby Gao
35 Hamilton High School
26 2
25 3

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win?

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Why can’t we just argue about the resolution and the plan? Why does it all have to be so

future hypothetical? Nobody argued the obvious

problems of the plan —

Inherency — solving in SQNO. CTE ↑65% in

last decade — Germany’s model, but it’s not

clear that this plan is used in Germany. Totally

different situation.

What is the plan, anyway? Encourage more involvement

by private industry? What? Is this topical?

RFD — Extinction via Nuclear War is the

outcome of voting either off a vegi,

apparently. It all hinges on the midterms,

and, clearly, on whether this one piece of

legislation passes. This is ridiculous!

Try debating what matters. Try actually

making a counter argument to the whole idea

that is proposed in these ridiculous mid term

arguments. E.g., time frame of CTE ↑ in economy, not

responsible how any piece of law will change voters minds.

Owen
Extraction is a wash - all die, maybe.
ToAff - we all may die
but CTE will ↣ T worse
performance vs benefits.
### Novice Policy Debate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Round 2</th>
<th>Affirmative</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker (circle)</td>
<td>Speaker (circle)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st 2nd</td>
<td>Alina Wang</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1st 2nd</td>
<td>Suhan Kacholia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st 2nd</td>
<td>Sushin Dahal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1st 2nd</td>
<td>Gayathri Donepudi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Hamilton High School</td>
<td>10 BASIS Chandler</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The winner of this debate was **Affirmative** (Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? **N**

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- **Topicality**
  - Aff violates neg's definition of education. They didn't explain how they meet the neg definition until the end, and even then the answer is not very good. Aff also did not provide an alternative definition so I have to prefer neg.

- **2nd nice job doing some impact calc**

Great Job to Everyone!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Round 2</th>
<th>Room 921</th>
<th>Fri 01/26/18 05:30PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Novice Policy Debate</strong></td>
<td><strong>Nicole Woodruff (*'10)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affirmative</strong></td>
<td><strong>Negative</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker (circle)</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Vivian Nguyen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Juhi Khandelwal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Hamilton High School</td>
<td>29 Desert Vista High School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The winner of this debate was **Affirmative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? **NO**

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg, CA is about alcohol extended obsolescence in the 20s and that CA does not appear to be competitive with the Aff. Aff also wins cabinet shadow extended. Neg still needs to restate the plan will obtain over the CA. Direct call on any cabinet that is well as R Aff's near-full salvation.
# Novice Policy Debate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Round 2</th>
<th>Room 923</th>
<th>Fri 01/26/18 05:30PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affirmative</strong></td>
<td><strong>Rank</strong></td>
<td><strong>Points</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st 2nd</td>
<td>Kenneth Wang</td>
<td>(1-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st 2nd</td>
<td>Cole Brown</td>
<td>29 Desert Vista High School</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Negative** | **Rank** | **Points** |
| 1st 2nd | Afsan Karim | (1-4) | (20-30) |
| 1st 2nd | Peter Sungwon Kim | 35 Hamilton High School | (1-4) | (20-30) |

The winner of this debate was **Affirmative** (Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? ________

Comments & Reason for Decision: **Forfeits**