





# VPD

Varsity Policy Debate				Adit Sakthi (*35)			
Round 1				Room 911		Fri 01/26/18 03:45PM	
Speaker (circle)	<b>Affirmative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	<b>Negative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st 2nd	Shion Wagoner	<u>3</u>	<u>27.5</u>	1st 2nd	Grant Gaylord	<u>2</u>	<u>29.9</u>
1st 2nd	Lleyton Ito 29 Desert Vista High School	<u>4</u>	<u>27</u>	1st 2nd	Shikhar Srivastava 25 Brophy College Prep	<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature  School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative <input checked="" type="radio"/> (Circle Winner)							
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD  
 - Voted Neg on FW, TVA specifically

# VPD

Varsity Policy Debate				Philip Birgenheir (*25)			
Round 1				Room 912		Fri 01/26/18 03:45PM	
Speaker (circle)	<b>Affirmative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	<b>Negative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st 2nd	Chase Laibe	<u>2</u>	<u>28</u>	1st 2nd	Aatmik Mallya	<u>3</u>	<u>27</u>
1st 2nd	Jadon Grossberg 29 Desert Vista High School	<u>4</u>	<u>26</u>	1st 2nd	Greg Miller 35 Hamilton High School	<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature  School / Affiliation / Occupation			
<b>Affirmative</b> <b>Negative</b>							
(Circle Winner)							
Is this a low point win? <u>Y</u>							

Comments & Reason for Decision:



2AC - slow down on case overview - you had extra time b/c you went too fast

It seems like the SQ does not solve the harms expressed in the 1AC. i.e. the current programs in place aren't sufficient to  $\text{\textcircled{S}}$  for marginalized youth. Even if Devos kills funding in other areas, maybe the Aff will still solve.

No demonstrated in-round abuse from FX  $\text{\textcircled{T}}$ . Aff got or claimed no offense from it. Even if Rehya does do higher ed, it doesn't explode research burden. Eh. Good round.

★ I think the neg did the cleaner debating and speaking, thus higher speaker

# VPD

Varsity Policy Debate				Lindsay Erjavic (*29)			
Round 1				Room 910		Fri 01/26/18 03:45PM	
Speaker (circle)	<b>Affirmative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker (circle)	<b>Negative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st/2nd	Andrew Crusa	<u>1</u>	<u>28</u>	1st/2nd	Lena Angela Han	<u>3</u>	<u>27.5</u>
1st/2nd	Aman Agarwal 25 Brophy College Prep	<u>2</u>	<u>27.5</u>	1st/2nd	Jane Wang 35 Hamilton High School	<u>4</u>	<u>26</u>
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature   School / Affiliation / Occupation			
(Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff took out neg offree and outweighed on merit and timeframe