You need to work on providing transitions between cards. However, you do repeat yourself, making this a bit. 2nd speech was quite a prime example. Where is the discussion of the alt? Why do you not link? Can you dabble in multiple worlds in order to dodge the link.

Nieg the gift K was a good idea. 18, 19, 20.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff: You need to work on providing transitions between cards. However, you do repeat yourself, making this a bit. 2nd speech was quite a prime example. Where is the discussion of the alt? Why do you not link? Can you dabble in multiple worlds in order to dodge the link.

KFD: Neg spread too thin in WR. Allowed off to get away. WI claim that taxing rich would solve. No s to k debate.
Drones - strikes vs. AUMF - seems that in many cases these were strikes and not acts of war. AUMF may be a bit too broad.

An attack by AUMF, so probably a bit more to come.

FLEXIBILITY - This wasn't rebutted as well as it might have been. The AUMF has been largely planed through nearly only. They also may not have fully executed it. Congress can act swiftly e.g., to give up the power to 60, 90.

RFD - harms of AUMF outweigh benefits.

But the term responses were effective enough to mitigate offense.
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Comments & Reason for Decision:

1. LI - Very Thermo Relational

2. Deterrence - I didn't buy this argument. The main points were: (a) the U.S. military presence in the Middle East is a deterrent, (b) the U.S. military effectively defended against missile attacks, and (c) not all Americans support the war. However, I disagree with the conclusion that the U.S. would intervene again. The cycle of war and peace needs to be broken.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

| Speaker 1 | 2 min |
| Speaker 2 | 2 min |
| Speaker 3 | 2 min |
| Speaker 4 | 2 min |

The last question is asked by the same speaker.

2 minutes of Prep Time per side