


LD

FLIP: 12 Benjamin Phillips v. 17 Savannah Marshall

Lincoln Douglas Debate	Ryan Kibby (*5)	
Double Octos	Room 2228	Fri 03/15/19 08:30PM
Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative Points (25-30)
Savannah Marshall 17		Benjamin Phillips 12
The winner of this debate was		
Affirmative Negative	 Judge's Signature	
(Circle Winner)	Desert Vista / coach / student	
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>	School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

Neg

- Be strategic with your definitions
- Your framework doesn't make sense and has no justifications
- make sure to have evidence that shows people will use ^{voluntary} ~~coercive~~ drug programs
- Don't reread parts of your case in the IAR you need to practice giving extensions

Remember to answer the Alt and Imp when addressing a K

RFD

Neg fails to ~~argue~~ disprove that they link into Biopower K
 Aff shows how they outweigh with regards to families in poverty trap and people seeking treatment

- make sure you ask your Judge about their thoughts on progressive arguments before reading them.
- You need to lay out a much more coherent link chain on how self regulation leads to genocide and concentration camp
- You rent biopower and then recommend using prisons rehab programs seems contradictory
- You have ^{no} evidence that the alt solves the Imp and have no reason to believe so
- If you are going to indict an aff study please have specific evidence and warrants as to why that study shouldn't be considered

Ng

HT

~~Saying that we can at least see~~

~~proposed in prison is probably the~~

~~worst way to argue the link~~

~~only because the Rob talks~~

~~me to deconstruct his papers and your~~

I don't buy the delink arg you

made in the 2MR. At the end of the

day I don't see how you can read

Bio power and still defend prisons