

LD

FLIP: 12 Anderson Zhang v. 7 Aatmik Mallya

Lincoln Douglas Debate		Erika Hatch (*14)	
Finals		Room 2233	Sat 03/16/19 05:30PM
Affirmative	Points (25-30) 30	Negative	Points (25-30) 30
Anderson Zhang		Aatmik Mallya	
The winner of this debate was		Rya Ferdowsian	
Affirmative Negative		Judge's Signature	
(Circle Winner)		Desert Vista	
Is this a low point win? _____		School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD:

Vote Neg

- I don't think the 1AR set the 2AR for its speech on Framework
- 3 conceded (kinda) Take-outs:
 - a) Case "reform good" as presumption
 - b) Gordon as a reason we can't "convince" others of conscientization
 - c) Fish as a reason the 1A's prem loses 'meaning'
- Risk of Essentialism / Authenticity DA
- Overcomeable if: Aff was much better on (A) Terminal 1's
 (and said it o/w) and (B) had a2's these solvency args

LD

FLIP: 12 Anderson Zhang v. 7 Aatmik Mallya

Lincoln Douglas Debate		Pat Johnson (*15)	
Finals		Room 2233	Sat 03/16/19 05:30PM
Affirmative <u>Anderson Zhang</u>		Points (25-30)	Negative <u>Aatmik Mallya</u>
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature <u>Brophy/Coach/Sons Win!!</u>	
Is this a low point win? _____		School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff
Neg


- K yeah I DEFINITELY can't vote for you on T, you don't get the ballot for being topical
- You should have tried to collapse to disc form and try to go hard on it

- Really good NC strat, not sure why theory isn't the #1 though
- You spent a ton of time on theory in the 2NR when I can't vote on it lol

RFD: I negate on the turn and Diongolo/Gordon args about personal experiences substituting as evidence. Since neg says you must engage with gov it's hard for me to affirm.

LD

FLIP: 12 Anderson Zhang v. 7 Aatmik Mallya

Lincoln Douglas Debate		Ted Braun (*5)	
Finals		Room 2233	Sat 03/16/19 05:30PM
Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
<u>Anderson</u>		<u>Aatmik</u>	
The winner of this debate was		 Judge's Signature	
Affirmative	Negative		
(Circle Winner)		DU	
Is this a low point win? _____		School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I think the IAC is cool but the case turns and this Fish off gives me some pause. I'm not sure I get a good response to this essentializing arg. I also don't think that the "Aff ait can be multiple things" answers the labeling arg- which talks about the act of labeling something as poetry. I guess I wish that IAR line by line was more robust.

Framework: w/ the card from the IAC on drugs I wonder if there is a possible TVA.