RFD: Vote Neg

I don't think the 1AR set the 2AR for its speech on Framework.

- 3 conceded (kinds) Take-outs:
  - a) Case "Reform Good" as presumption
  - b) Gordon as a reason we can't convince others of conscientization
  - c) Fish as a reason the 1AR's poem loses meaning

- Risk of Essentialism / Authenticity DA

- Overcomeable if: Aff was much better on (A) Terminal 1's
  (and said it only) and (B) had az's these solency orgs
**LD**

**FLIP: 12 Anderson Zhang v. 7 Aatmik Mallya**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lincoln Douglas Debate</th>
<th>Pat Johnson ('15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finals</strong></td>
<td><strong>Room 2233</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affirmative</strong></td>
<td><strong>Points (25-30)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson Zhang</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Negative</strong></td>
<td><strong>Points (25-30)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aatmik Mallya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The winner of this debate was

**Affirmative**

Circle Winner

Is this a low point win? __________

**Judge’s Signature**

**RFD: I negate on the turn and Diugolo/Gordon args about personal experience substituting as evidence. Since neg says you must engage with you it’s hard for me to affirm.**

- Really good NC start, not sure why theory isn’t the #1 though!
- You spent a ton of time on theory in the 2/4R when I can’t vote on it lol
- OK yeah I DEFINITELY can’t vote for you on T, you don’t get the ballot for being typical
- You should have tried to collapse to Eric form and try to go hard on it!
**Above the table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affirmative</th>
<th>Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Aatmik</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The winner of this debate was **Affirmative**.

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? **DV**

**Comments & Reason for Decision:**

I think the IAC is cool but the case turns and this fish off gives me some pause. I'm not sure I get a good response to this essentializing arg. I also don't think that the "Aff all can be multiple things" answers the labeling arg-union talks about the act of labeling something as poetry. I guess I wish that IAC line by line was more robust.

Framework: Will the card from the IAC on drugs? Wonder if there is a possible TXA.