

VLD

FLIP: 6 Zachary Jones v. 9 Saif Agha

Varsity Lincoln Douglas		Abby Karlin (*13)	
Quarter-Finals		Room 2232	Sat 10/05/19 12:30PM
Affirmative <u>Saif Agha</u>		Points (25-30) <u>29</u>	Negative <u>Zachary Jones</u>
		Points (25-30) <u>30</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative <u>Negative</u> (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>		<u>Abby Karlin</u> Judge's Signature <u>Mcdintock</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

great job both of you! very enjoyable debate!


general:

- more discussion of framework please!!!
- more impact weighing - esp. towards the end of the round
- excellent CX questions

neg won because CP contributed the most to equality.
 2 impacts of GPA vs. standardized test scores made more sense in the round.

VLD

FLIP: 9 Calvin Tyler v. 2 Delaney Krieger

Varsity Lincoln Douglas		Derek Chisum (*6)	
Quarter-Finals		Room 2233	Sat 10/05/19 12:30PM
Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
<u>Delaney Krieger</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>Calvin Tyler</u>	<u>28</u>
The winner of this debate was		 Judge's Signature	
Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative <input checked="" type="radio"/> (Circle Winner)		<u>BASIS Phoenix</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? <input checked="" type="radio"/>			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

Greater precision = greater inequality is an interesting argument.

Cross was mostly clarification and evidence analysis that did not really create any new ground for the Aff.

Very strong 1AR. Collapsing to dehumanization was a good strategic move.

Watch conceded turns!

Propped entire Neg case in 2AR.

Defense of 1st contention was strong, but attacks on the Neg and conceded turns cost the round.

Neg

- Good pressure on Wilson evidence.
- Good turns on Aff benefits
- Very strong card usage.
- Great line-by-line in 2NR.
- Evidence and impact weighing is very strong.

Argumentation was ~~stronger~~ stronger, but speaking was overall weaker

RFD: Under equality Neg outweighs. Aff non dehumanization argument, Neg won Eth & funding block-outs to diversity. Neg did a better job using empirics to weigh the impacts.