MOHAMMED, NAVIED
FAVRE, BRANDON

NLD

FLIP: 11 Kinazed Jaxon Sabine v. 22 Rayna Shaik

Novice LD Debate

Naveed Mohammad (*36) Brandon Favre (4)

Quarter-Finals

Room 408

Sat 10/26/19 04:15PM

Affirmative

Points
(25-30)
10

Rayna Shaik

Negative

Points
(25-30)

Kinazed Jaxon Sabine

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative

(circle winner)

Is this a low point win?

No

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg

- Top tier forklift
- Solid defense in CX
- Solid line to line
- 45 sec left in A3; adds more arguments or more backing for PW.
- Work on time management, many extensions on your case. Avoid taking opp in left unmade.
- No need to reframe res in every speech.
- Work on stutters. Var's/Var's. Cimarron flow of speech.
- No new arguments in the 2AR.

RFD: Neg won because & locked off out of impact through NC C2
- on violence & co-opting of Eds. The NC C1 sufficiently turn things w/ extended case terms by neg off, the off case. This neg victory.
# NLD

**FLIP:** 29 Pranav Tangallpalli v. 11 Gagan Ram Vaidyanathan

**Novice LD Debate**  |  **Laurent Kounouho (*32)**  
---|---
**Quarter-Finals**  | **Room 402**  | **Sat 10/26/19 04:15PM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affirmative</th>
<th>Points (25-30)</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Points (25-30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pranav Tangallpalli</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gagan Ram Vaidyanathan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The winner of this debate was **Affirmative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win?  **No**

---

**Comments & Reason for Decision:**

- I would start the 1AR with the AC

- Try to ask more "scaling" questions in CX

- Lots of scattered quotes. More sign posting!!!

- Clouds can be meaningful

- You're overall point is good, but it's so scattered. You need to pre-write extensions/rebuttals

2:00
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Novice LD Debate</th>
<th>Room 406</th>
<th>Sat 10/26/19 04:15PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affirmative</strong></td>
<td>Points  (25-30)</td>
<td><strong>Negative</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter Travis Fenn</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sasha Sai Gunto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The winner of this debate was</td>
<td>Affirmative* Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Circle Winner)</td>
<td>Is this a low point win?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- very good presentation, eye contact, and reading off case.

RFD pretty much the Neg collapsed. Only possibility was the RoL arg. but Aff solves and stands w/ own case.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Novice LD Debate</th>
<th>Aatmik Mallya (*4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quarter-Finals</td>
<td>Room 403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sat 10/26/19 04:15PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affirmative</th>
<th>Points (25-30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ryan Duong</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Points (25-30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethan Dean Nicoll</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The winner of this debate was **Affirmative**

(Circle Winner)  

Is this a low point win? **no**

---

Verbal RFD

- FV is a wash
- Climate change goes to neg because aff can't have ground from legal protests