


NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Bridgett Bayer (*50)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 120	Fri 02/07/20 07:45PM
Affirmative William Richard Napolitano 5 Hamilton High School		Points (20-30) 28 26	Negative Austin Keith 3 Willow Canyon High School
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		 Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>Yes</u>		BASIS PROBIA School / Affiliation / Occupation	


Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF: Clear argument put forth - terrorists, miscalculations, MATS
 - work on speaking fluidly to help w/ audience understanding.

NEG - Presented a clear case - had good pacing + spoke steady. Put forth argument about
 Deterrence + terrorist acquisition of nukes.
 Cont. 1 seems well covered w/ AFF cont. 3 - no specifics to nuclear deterrence.

In the end AFF had 2 contentions that were not completely dealt
 with. Terrorism could be considered a draw, but miscalculations was left
 unaddressed.

NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Bridgett Bayer (*50)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 120	Fri 02/07/20 08:30PM
Affirmative Ani Hartman 45 Horizon High School		Points (20-30) <u>25</u>	Negative Emma Nesteruck 3 Willow Canyon High School
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		 Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		<u>Basis Peoria</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	


Comments & Reason for Decision:

for AC
 AFF - Polished delivery
 - Work on organizing the IAR - to be more effective
 - IAR was unclear - try focusing on one or two points - or just restate your case if at a loss.

NEG - Great links back to value during NC
 - Good use of evidence - try to summarize + not read

Both need to work on addressing the points the other brought up.
 Things were left unargued on both sides (terrorists, state positions, accidental use)

NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Ranjana Burke (#5) Aatmik Malhya (5)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 124	Fri 02/07/20 07:45PM
Affirmative Madeleine Joslin 7 McClintock High School		Points (20-30) <u>27</u>	Negative Alex Hall 8 Gilbert High School
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>Y</u>		 Judge's Signature <u>Hamilton</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I vote neg

no weighing arguments, but
conventional war flows through
and o/w health effects & neg

NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Ranjana Burke (#5) Admir Malya (5)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 124	Fri 02/07/20 08:30PM
Affirmative Vincent Montalbano 42 Desert Vista High School		Points (20-30) <u>30</u>	Negative Karim Jayyusi 3 Willow Canyon High School
The winner of this debate was <input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative (Circle Winner)		_____ Judge's Signature _____ School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? <u>N</u>			

Comments & Reason for Decision:


Verbal RFD

I vote aff

- 2-4 million cancer deaths
o/w.

- terrorists lack sufficient
infrastructure.


NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Rita Ramirez (*3)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 140	Fri 02/07/20 07:45PM
Affirmative Liam Reynolds 8 Gilbert High School		Points (20-30) <u>26</u>	Negative Americus Jenelle Truax York 30 Mullen High School
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature  3 School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? _____			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - opening speech was easy to follow - needed more eye contact in the beginning - effective in delivering speech - was confident and calm - convincing | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Speaks eloquently - very confident in delivering stance. Lots of supporting evidence - engaged the Aff aggressively and but diplomatically. - presents great communication skills - persuasive |
|---|---|

NLD


Novice L-D Debate		Rita Ramirez (*3)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 140	Fri 02/07/20 08:30PM
Affirmative Keshav Verma 2 Scottsdale Preparatory Academy		Negative Sneha Lakamsani 5 Hamilton High School	
Points (20-30) <u>26</u>		Points (20-30) <u>29</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature  3	
Is this a low point win? _____		School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- opening speech was flat, lacked energy
- convincing towards the end of speech
-

- good communication skills, but spoke too fast
- was confident and ~~tooth~~ delivered a convincing argument
- had lots of example to support stance.

NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Scott Nielson (#45)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 129	Fri 02/07/20 07:45PM
Affirmative Cooper Somora 6 Perry High School		Points (20-30) <u>29</u>	Negative Rayna Shaik 35 Arizona College Prep Points (20-30) <u>27</u>
The winner of this debate was <input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature  McClintock ITJ	
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I don't understand your strat in CX w/ FW.

Don't call the code red...
↳ So other countries will develop them?
The rest of CX is good.

↳ C3 relevant? ✓ } good Q's
↳ C2 confusing ✓ }

Why does the past determine the future?

↑
interesting Q about scientific and inductive reasoning. I prob. wouldn't ask this Q, I think it's stronger to ask a question about complex scientific steps and warrant comparisons.

Try to find better evidence.

"Thank You For Arguing"
Jay Heinrichs



Books for rhetoric.
"Philosopher's Toolkit"

I don't have neg deterrence

can w/ Aff's AZi w/ Parash 13 and Doyle 15

Neg needs new AZs.
Aff wins on Cybersecurity and Indo-Pak-ish

NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Scott Nielson (*45)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 129	Fri 02/07/20 08:30PM
Affirmative Alex Benson 35 Arizona College Prep		Points (20-30) <u>27</u>	Negative Sarah Maisha 5 Hamilton High School
The winner of this debate was <input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative (Circle Winner)		 Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? _____		 School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both Affirmative + Negative were very courteous to each other, Good eye contact
 Did not talk to judge. Negative seemed not to have subject of the debate.
 Negative did bring up good points. But I thought that Affirmative did
 a better job in the presentation.

NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Tim Parra (*24)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 119	Fri 02/07/20 07:45PM
Affirmative Adallis Pantry 5 Hamilton High School		Points (20-30) <u>26</u>	Negative Javontay Grayson 33 Santa Rita High School
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		_____ Alicia Andrews Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? _____		_____ School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Definiter stated a all contigacy
 Stated well.

Spoke clearly & was
 confident in presenting
 her case.

Debater elaborated
 on all her contigacy's

Good attack on neg
 Cross exam question

well done!

Definiter stated. contigacy
 & not clear.

Did not ask any questions related
 to any contigacy

Did not provide a cross examination
 question.

Spoke clearly

Had confidence

keep it up well done.

NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Tim Parra (*24)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 119	Fri 02/07/20 08:30PM
Affirmative Esther Chibasa 33 Santa Rita High School		Points (20-30) <u>20</u>	Negative Emma Jane Carris 1 BASIS Phoenix Points (20-30) <u>29</u>
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>		A Andrews Judge's Signature ACP Eric SS RN School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Definition in intro not clearly stated.

Stated 12 contingency

① People should follow laws to keep them safe

② Eliminating nuc arsenals keeps people safe.

Debater did not elaborate enough on above 1 & 2 points to argument safety

Did not rebuke framework of well being.

Did answer questions.

Keep it up well done!

Framework well stated

Spoke clearly & asked clarifying questions in cross exam.

Stated her case very well & provided statistics

well being brings safety.

Defended her regulation well Biological weapons are worse

No nuclear strike for years point well defended.

Attacked point of legitimacy upholding laws make you safe. had multiple examples to prove her point.


Elaborated on deterrence.

Very well done neg rebuttle

Spoke with confidence.

well done! keep it up.

NLD


Novice L-D Debate		Rahel Zubairi (*29)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 126	Fri 02/07/20 07:45PM
Affirmative Jacob Robert Massoud 7 McClintock High School		Points (20-30) <u>26</u>	Negative Adam Dudebout 42 Desert Vista High School
The winner of this debate was <input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		 Judge's Signature <u>id / parent /</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

~~AF~~

AFF did good job of refuting points in rebuttal, Neg failed to address most points made by AFF


NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Rahel Zubairi (*29)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 126	Fri 02/07/20 08:30PM
Affirmative Sasha Sai Guntu 5 Hamilton High School		Points (20-30) <u>25</u>	Negative Emma Goldstein 47 BASIS Flagstaff
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		Judge's Signature  School / Affiliation / Occupation u / parent / -	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Very difficult decision! ultimately I was turned off by neg Framing. Aff ~~was~~ points as "lies & Deceits" ^{In Rebuttal}, which felt needlessly personal.

NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Jessica Carter (*10)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 127	Fri 02/07/20 07:45PM
Affirmative Joseph Chen 5 Hamilton High School		Points (20-30) <u>27</u>	Negative Evelyn DeVos 4 Gilbert Classical Academy Points (20-30) <u>28</u>
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		 Judge's Signature <u>Mountain View</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

You don't need to define every aspect of the topic

You do a good job defending your case but you need to focus on specific impacts

You need to respond directly to the counterplan

Neg

Really good during cross


You do a really good job on neg writing a case that directly contests the aff

That 1NR was bomb you do a really great job with weighing and proving to me that you are the side that solves

O: CP solved all offense there was no attempt at a perm



NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Jessica Carter (*10)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 127	Fri 02/07/20 08:30PM
Affirmative Andrea Popescu 3 Willow Canyon High School		Points (20-30) <u>27</u>	Negative Nancy Pham 45 Horizon High School
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		 Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		<u>Mountain View</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

You don't have to
def all terms


You need to respond
~~the~~ more directly
to opponents arguments

Neg


Parts of the INC
were really repetitive
and I feel like
some remarks were
less useful and responsive

You do a good
job hammering
home how def.
is true and
is useful

2FO: Aff didn't answer deterrence



NLD

Novice L-D Debate		John Kim (*2)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 141	Fri 02/07/20 08:30PM
Affirmative Louisa Kaplan 7 McClintock High School		Points (20-30) <u>25</u>	Negative Tyler Carter 9 Bonita Vista High School
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		 Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		<u>Scottsdale Prep Academy</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Affirmative

AC: Aff presented concise, yet effective arguments to support her case. She spoke very well.

CX: Good cross to strengthen her contentions. Was able to have her opponent admit to one of her points. Aff could have pushed Neg to make more admissions.

AR: Aff conceded that both Aff and Neg was not able to say where the money is going, hurting her case in making this argument. Aff also highlighted admissions made by Neg, which made her case stronger.

AR: Aff addressed Neg's arguments and pointed out why they are incorrect.

Reason for Decision: Aff made more effective arguments and precisely explain why some of Neg's arguments were incorrect.


Negative

CX: Good questions to counter Aff's contentions, but missed an opportunity to score points when Aff was not provide a concrete answer.

NC: Neg made strong arguments to support his case. His arguments flowed well and logical. He also did an effective job in responding to Aff's arguments.

NR: Neg countered Aff's attacks and effectively pointed out his arguments not refuted by Aff. Neg was able to amplify his argument by citing to specific examples. Effectively turned Aff's argument of protecting indigenous people to support his own case. Good job!

NLD

Novice L-D Debate		John Kim (*2)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 141	Fri 02/07/20 07:45PM
Affirmative Sophia Browder 51 Sunnyslope High School		Points (20-30) <u>27</u>	Negative Hugo Osuna Garcia 3 Willow Canyon High School
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		 Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>		<u>Scottsdale Prep Academy</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff.

AC - Aff made good, detailed arguments in support of C1 + C2, but C1 and C2 seemed to be based on similar concepts.

CX - Good attempt at attacking Neg's contentions, but Neg was able to defend his position.

IAR - Aff did an effective job in attacking each of Neg's arguments, particularly on Neg's C2. Aff also was able to highlight her contentions

ZAR - Aff emphasized Neg failed to address her "right to life" standard. Good job at highlighting other points conceded by Neg.

Neg.


CX - Made good cross on Aff's supporting evidence based on bias, but did not hit all Aff's arguments.

NC - Neg. presented compelling arguments in support of his case. However, Neg's counter arguments against Aff's contentions need to be more refined.

NR - Neg's arguments based on C1 (deterrence) seem strong, but they could have been more compelling if his counterargument to Aff's attack was more detailed. Good job at addressing Aff's argument that Neg. did not define a certain definition.

Reason for Dec: Both participants did a nice job in presenting their cases. However, Aff arguments and attacks against Neg. were more effective.

NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Vincent Perez (*36)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 139	Fri 02/07/20 07:45PM
Affirmative Brian Hofstetter 47 BASIS Flagstaff		Points (20-30) <u>27</u>	Negative Branden Currie 3 Willow Canyon High School
The winner of this debate was <input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		 _____ Judge's Signature TPA _____ School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

BRIAN - COMMUNICATES WELL
 - CONTENTIONS RELEVANT TO THE SUBJECT
 - COMPELLING ARGUMENTS

BRANDEN - CONFIDENT IN HIS QUESTIONS REGARDING
 OPPONENT'S CONTENTIONS
 - COMMUNICATES WELL.

NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Vincent Perez (*36)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 139	Fri 02/07/20 08:30PM
Affirmative Mckinley Paltzik 34 Phoenix Country Day School		Points (20-30) <u>28</u>	Negative Abhiram k bobba 1 BASIS Phoenix
The winner of this debate was <input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative (Circle Winner)		_____ Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		_____ School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Mckinley - Arguments clearly presented.
 - Contentions are compelling.
 - COMMUNICATES WELL

Abhiram - ARRIVED LATE.
 - QUESTIONS RELEVANT TO CONTENTIONS OF OPPONENT.
 - TALKS A BIT FAST LEADING TO ARGUMENTS BEING MISUNDERSTOOD.
 - CONTENTIONS ARE RELEVANT BUT WHEN DELIVERED SEEMS INCOHERENT.

NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Dino Gomez (*42)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 125	Fri 02/07/20 07:45PM
Affirmative Maryam Khan 35 Arizona College Prep		Points (20-30) <u>26</u>	Negative Kayla Steele 45 Horizon High School
The winner of this debate was <input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative (Circle Winner)		<i>Victor J. Napoleone</i> Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>		<i>HAMILTON / KIPPERS / SANDERS</i> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both did well. Aff provided stronger support for value


NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Dino Gomez (*42)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 125	Fri 02/07/20 08:30PM
Affirmative Mia Osmonbekov 47 BASIS Flagstaff		Points (20-30) <u>17</u>	Negative Amanda McAlphin 7 McClintock High School
The winner of this debate was <input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative (Circle Winner)		<i>Vitek J. Napulitano</i> Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>		<i>Hamilton / Parent / Banker</i> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

*AFF PROVIDED STRONGER SUPPORT FOR
 VALUES & BETTER USE OF REBUTTAL*

NLD


Novice L-D Debate		Bitu Taji (*35)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 128	Fri 02/07/20 07:45PM
Affirmative Hunter Travis Fenn 31 Mesquite High School		Points (20-30) <u>28</u>	Negative Jaylin Wilson 45 Horizon High School
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature  ACP Erie School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff: strong start and ^{strong} value + criteria
 Able to respond quickly to questions.
 were really well researched
 making the argument stronger.
 good job identifying weak points.

Neg:
 Able to respond to questions.
 nice delivery and fluency
 Passionate on topic
 good Attack on Aff's value.
 good examples.

NLD

Novice L-D Debate		Bitu Taji (*35)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 128	Fri 02/07/20 08:30PM
Affirmative Zoe Rossi 4 Gilbert Classical Academy		Points (20-30) <u>25</u>	Negative Janelle Amber Riley 13 Desert Ridge High School Points (20-30) <u>26</u>
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>		 Judge's Signature ACP Erie School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff?

Not Able to responded to some questions.

good frameworks

Passionate on topic.

Good support for value

Neg?

Able to responded to questions.

nice delivery and fluency

good researched and using number

Impact examples greatly helped
 picot / supporting