**Novice Public Forum**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finals</th>
<th>Mike Tristano (*34)</th>
<th>Sat 02/08/20 05:30PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>Jagdish, Robin</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Griffin, Matthew</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The winner of this debate was **Pro**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? **Yes**

**Comments & Reason for Decision:**

RFD: Con had more realistic impacts in contrast to Pro's hypothetical ones. This Neg slightly outweighed Pro's valid potential solutions to problems with MTW.

(#1 Welfare Traps)

1. UBI is better than GDP day for day?
2. MTW is better
   - $35,000 vs. $40,000
   - $3,000 a year vs. $50,000 a year
   - 8 million out of poverty by end

(#2 Entrepreneurship)

1. Not economically viable
   - Lose 3 trillion
   - Taxes on rich, no increase in taxes

2. Decreased entrepreneurship
   - 53,000 was not brought up before Grand Cross

Impacts:
- Not benefit
- Taxes solved income inequality
- UBI 100% ppl recieve benefits
- Status quo has gaps

Strengths:
- Rebuttal on facts and evidence was excellent
- Impacts of UBI on scope were solid

**Order/Time Limits of Speeches**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker 1</td>
<td>4 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker 2</td>
<td>4 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossfire (1 &amp; 2)</td>
<td>3 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker 3</td>
<td>4 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker 4</td>
<td>4 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossfire (3 &amp; 4)</td>
<td>3 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker 1 Summary</td>
<td>3 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker 2 Summary</td>
<td>3 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Crossfire (all)</td>
<td>3 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker 3 Final Focus</td>
<td>2 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker 4 Final Focus</td>
<td>2 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*3 minutes of prep time per side

The first question is asked by the earlier speaker.

**Impacts:**
- Recession is hypothetical
  - Don't tax the rich
  - More (gross) money to people ($35,000 over 12,000)

**Strengths:**
- Rebuttal on cards was excellent
  - Framework and beginning outline was incredibly helpful
  - Impacts were more realistic in real terms
Novice Public Forum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Pro</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Con</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>Rohit Jagdish</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Andrew Burns</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Mathew Griffin</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Rishab Bora</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The winner of this debate was Pro

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Great current examples
- Good use of stats!
- Great use of facts to support off.
- Great back and forth questions.
- Avoid emotional reaction
- Mathew: good rebuttal - good use of impact
- Great examples
- Andrew: great definitions
- Very clearly delivered
- Clear argument
- Very confident
- Great use of questioning skills during cross.
- Manage time (?)
- Rishab - try not to be combative in questioning
- Rishab - slow down just a bit.
- Good rebuttal - defining what benefits come from welfare
- Way to stay on point
- Andrew - great demonstration of staying calm and on point
- With Fats + Support
- Great Final Focus
- Chose argument wrong debate for Pro
Novice Public Forum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Pro</th>
<th>Points (20-30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>Rohit Jagdish</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Matthew Griffin</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Con</th>
<th>Points (20-30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>Andrew Burns</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Rishab Bora</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The winner of this debate was Pro (Circle Winner)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1. Rishab Bora

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker 1</th>
<th>Speaker 2</th>
<th>Crossfire (1 &amp; 2)</th>
<th>Speaker 3</th>
<th>Speaker 4</th>
<th>Crossfire (3 &amp; 4)</th>
<th>Speaker 1 Summary</th>
<th>Speaker 2 Summary</th>
<th>Grand Crossfire (all)</th>
<th>Speaker 3 Final Focus</th>
<th>Speaker 4 Final Focus</th>
<th>Prep Time per side</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 min</td>
<td>4 min</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>4 min</td>
<td>4 min</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>3 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The first question is asked by the earlier speaker.

Some text is not legible due to handwriting.
$12,000 [\text{loan}] 

\begin{align*} 
\text{Interest} & = \text{Principal} \times \text{Annual Interest Rate} \\
& = 200 \times 0.05 \\
& = 10 \\
\end{align*}

\begin{align*} 
\text{Total Payment} & = \text{Principal} + \text{Interest} \\
& = 200 + 10 \\
& = 210 \\
\end{align*}

\text{Payment Plan:} 
- \text{Month 1: } \$10 \text{ (interest only)} \\
- \text{Month 2: } \$100 + \$10 \text{ (principal + interest)} 

\text{Total payments:} 
- \text{Month 1: } \$10 \\
- \text{Month 2: } \$110 \\
- \text{Total: } \$120 \\

\text{Effective rate:} 
- \text{Month 1: } \frac{10}{100} = 0.10 \\
- \text{Month 2: } \frac{110}{210} = 0.52381 \\

\text{Effective Rate:} \approx 52.38\%