


Cheema, Deepak

VPD

FLIP: 42 Hsu - Wang v. 22 Allen - Lynaugh

Policy Debate				Stephen Miller (32) Cheema Deepak (5)			
Finals				Room 111		Sat 02/08/20 05:30PM	
Speaker	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st	Allen		29	1st	Hsu		26
2nd	Lynaugh		29	2nd	Wang		27
The winner of this debate was <input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative (Circle Winner)				Judge's Signature  School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>							

Comments & Reason for Decision:


~~US~~ US should seize all LIB  
 and sale to Qatar as data  
 supply from groups.  
 Impact = Extrinsic

Very convincing argument  
 fair debate by aff and  
 easily understandable.

Qatar in compliance with IFTA  
 Banker regulate any no term  
 banker dealys...


Key was hard to understand  
 in their speeches and bid  
 bid concluded argument

RED Agrees with AFF as their arguments made sense  
 and were very convincing. They made their case  
 well and supported it despite all counter-  
 arguments from NEG.



# VPD

FLIP: 42 Hsu - Wang v. 22 Allen - Lynaugh

Policy Debate				Raymond Hon (*5)			
Finals				Room 111		Sat 02/08/20 05:30PM	
Speaker	Affirmative	Rank	Points	Speaker	Negative	Rank	Points
1st	Lynaugh	(1-4) 2	(20-30) 29	1st	Hsu	(1-4) 4	(20-30) 29
2nd	Allen	3	29.5	2nd	Wang	1	29.5
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature Hamilton School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative <input checked="" type="radio"/> (Circle Winner)							
Is this a low point win? _____							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

\* The proposal goes like this: ~~cancel~~ cancel rules to grant access as (of black folks) to invest into the world as it is, in the case of Black folks, this "cancel option" does them to extinction in some sense.

I <sup>don't want to</sup> ~~cancel~~ judge on this because I'm not given sufficient reason that disinterest from the world would precipitate "revolutionary sentiment" but what do I know, I've never done debate, never judged policy ~~to~~.

\* Didn't know how to weigh the T, canceling is insales completely is quantitative? I bought that from aff. The FMS / lines of research stuff is I guess what I don't know how to weigh.


to aff - the T really isn't about if Blacks or minorities are helped in the plan. To neg I did say structural vs identity pol. (I also buy neg's thing about retained about middle eastern suffering is analogous to many proposals anyway)

\* The result goes down to ~~the~~ do I buy the K (I don't know how to weigh the T) + neg drops most of the more compelling stuff about ME geopolitics. Aff ends up saying blackness is a-priori so... that gives me reason to vote neg?



VPD

FLIP: 42 Hsu - Wang v. 22 Allen - Lynaugh

Policy Debate				Zach Bassoon (*5) (ZACH BRISSON)			
Finals				Room 111		Sat 02/08/20 05:30PM	
Speaker	<b>Affirmative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)	Speaker	<b>Negative</b>	Rank (1-4)	Points (20-30)
1st	22			1st	42		
2nd				2nd			
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature Hamilton / McCintow School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Affirmative <b>Negative</b> (Circle Winner)							
Is this a low point win? _____							

Comments & Reason for Decision:

IAC - Muslim Brotherhood advantage still incomplete. otherwise solid changes! (Brotherhood argument seems more like another Africa internal link)

ZAC - Case on TOP should be general rule. Important to cover your bases in extending your impacts.

ZNC - T flow, I see the vision, but line-by-line non-existent.

RFD

I buy the Framework on the K... that Aff must defend justifications/assumptions — further this Ontology claim - i.e. the way we come to understand life/being/etc. (psych, etc.) — comes first... Thus any risk of K link is Neg ballot — I buy ballot Link b/c its dropped --

