VPF

FLIP: 5 Shih - Shukla v. 22 Edquist - Gallup

Varsity Public Forum

Quarter-Finals

Speaker | Pro | Points (20-30)
--- | --- | ---
1st | Abhi Shukla | 49.6
2nd | Daniel Shih | 39

Speaker | Con | Points (20-30)
--- | --- | ---
1st | Tanya Edquist | 49.6
2nd | Larkin Gallup | 39

The winner of this debate was

**Con**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? NO

Comments & Reason for Decision:

*pro won due better arguments. Both sides were terrific in X-fire. the pro team just presented their information slightly better than Con. Really Great debate presented.*

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

| Speaker 1 | 4 min |
| Speaker 2 | 4 min |
| Crossfire (1 & 2) | 3 min |
| Speaker 3 | 4 min |
| Speaker 4 | 4 min |
| Crossfire (3 & 4) | 3 min |
| Speaker 1 Summary | 3 min |
| Speaker 2 Summary | 3 min |
| Grand Crossfire (all) | 3 min |
| Speaker 3 Final Focus | 2 min |
| Speaker 4 Final Focus | 2 min |

3 minutes of Prep Time per side

*The first question is asked by the earlier speaker.*

---

1. **UBI** - Domestic Abuse - 15 avoid great, unable to wear due to violence smooth ex. Kenya
2. **Economic Welfare** - Swing + 23% in UBI - debt + deficit - wrong! widespread economic poverty trap - recipient generate income, net income - on welfare, creative wage - Rust Belt. - Purchasing power
3. **X-fire**: really great Q/A on both
4. **Older, Great Wealth + tax.
5. **Make a great Q on austerity cuts**

3. Overview & then responds to Con's contention.
4. 167k die due to poverty - increase for us + US - assume all those who get welfare get all system not correct. crop - 8% only receive all that will, etc. 75% rec nothing at

---

4. Overview supports Con's content.
   - Tax credits - sharp up poverty
   - 70% entrepreneurship
   - Good pt. on how UBI change financial power to avoid tax.
   - Kenya DV - not comparable to US D. V.
33 child poverty 8, govt debt - UBI - replaces all welfare programs which funds UBI, automates, doubling GDP.
- investor debt

S1 - good arguments against pro's contention -
- 63% of plants on welfare don't work
- value deep pov.
- Med amt of welfare: 28k/yr is still more than UBI

2nd x-fire: Great arguments Qs & answers by both S3/S4.
- Great back forth on spending on food cost.

Summary:
S1 Great summary of the pros contention and rebuttal of Con's contention. Good speed of tone on the speech. Great arguments & rebuttals! Good data used in arguments & rebuttals. GDP/debt ratio.
- use Rust belt state vs. economic stimulus -
- cu 645 transfer - food stamps.
- Rebuttal Pro's contention & summarized Con's well.
- TANF - impacts more people than UBI.
- 87 people in the welfare programs.
- Unpressed debt cost to people & infrastructure.
- Good argument the UBI cannot do.
- Con did better on this x-fire.

General x-fire: Pros & A well researched. However Con's answers were very evidenced thought out. - Con did better on this x-fire.

TF - Deep poverty - $2/wk - 167k die due to deep poverty.
- UBI pulls 8 million in deep poverty.
- 28k/yr median welfare - EPI - indicates that is not true.
- Rust Belt argument - Food Stamp cost - 167/month = UBI would give.

S4 - agree on deep poverty - deep welfare & child pov. - 8 million plunge in poverty.
- UBI strengthens abuser & not the women.
- Automation - double GDP in the states, qua, automation.
- debt & unemployment goes.

*
### Quarter-Finals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Pro</th>
<th>Points (20-30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>Talamantez</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Neuner</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Con</th>
<th>Points (20-30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>Desai</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Surwar</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The winner of this debate was: **Talamantez**

Is this a low point win? **No**

Order/Time Limits of Speeches:
- Speaker 1: 4 min
- Speaker 2: 4 min
- Crossfire (1 & 2): 3 min
- Speaker 3: 4 min
- Speaker 4: 4 min
- Crossfire (3 & 4): 3 min
- Speaker 1 Summary: 3 min
- Speaker 2 Summary: 3 min
- Grand Crossfire (all): 3 min
- Speaker 3 Final Focus: 2 min
- Speaker 4 Final Focus: 2 min

3 minutes of Prep Time per side

* The first question is asked by the earlier speaker.
Pros => 1) 40 million in poverty.
             lowest social mobility.
             Alaska

b) Economic growth.
2) Freedom => say No.
    Female murder.

(cons => 1) Survival of the richest.
2) SSI => 2-3rd gen. disabilities.
3) Racism
4) Etc.
Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro: By a margin of 33 prep.

Reduce poverty to 76% and increase employment in Finland.

50% don’t participate

Benefit reducing after increasing income.

Consolidation is needed.

Hyper Inflation

Aging economy growth.

Poverty feel 76% and increase unemployment in Finland.

GDP 43% of economy is BR, Information, OBI

Job opportunities in other locations

Welfare is concentrated in the middle.

Con: 4th of July was

Rising deficit 2 times depression

Hyper Inflation

No new income into the economy.

Global recession and growth.

4th of July.IBM

Could break debt GDP.

Failed to boost employment.

Finland is minor.

Disadvantage does not mean

High risk leads to success.
The VP win because they supported the plan where they were the upside (
#1) good opening (circle winner) #2. Attack on neg used evidence.

To support #1 neg claim of

#3. BET. C T used index as
evidence support on B.

neg. Used evidence.

stated evidence

To support

Speaker

2nd

2020
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